UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

×			UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRIC OAKLAND DIVI	T OF CALIFORNIA SION	No. C. St. A	No.
J	Jnited	States of America,)	Case No. <u>4-20-70</u>	200 MAG	EUEU D
D	ani	Plaintiff, v. e l Andres Scalla Defendant(s).	ia -) Mar truez	STIPULATED ORDER E UNDER THE SPEEDY T	XCLUDING THAT	is of the state of
For the I	reasons	s stated by the parties of 3/4/2020 to	on the record on $\frac{3}{11}$	u 2020, the court excl and finds that the ends of j ne defendant in a speedy tria is continuance on the follow	ıl. See 18 U.S.C. §	dy
ē		Failure to grant a cont See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(inuance would be lik h)(7)(B)(i).	tely to result in a miscarriag	e of justice.	
,	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).					
e - 2		Failure to grant a cont taking into account th	inuance would deny e exercise of due dil	the defendant reasonable tingence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 316	me to obtain counsel, $51(h)(7)(B)(iv)$.	
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).					
	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).					
2 2 7	IT IS	SO ORDERED.		Dan		
² a	DATI	ED: $\frac{3/4}{20}$		DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate	e Judge	
	STIP	ULATED:		Janate		
81	UIII	Attorney fo	Defendant	Assistant United States	Attorney	
					9927.52 5350 B. 14000 F. 1500	